Book Policy Manual

Section SPECIAL UPDATE - OTES 2.0 - April 2020

Title Special Update - OTES 2.0 - April 2020 REPLACEMENT - STANDARDS-BASED TEACHER

EVALUATION [FOR DISCUSSION ONLY]

Code po3220.revised

Status

Adopted December 19, 2012

Last Revised June 19, 2017

Last Reviewed June 15, 2020

3220 - STANDARDS-BASED TEACHER EVALUATION

[DRAFTING NOTE: This is only a policy "template" and requires numerous and important local choices prior to finalization and for any subsequent revisions. In addition, the final policy including subsequent revisions must be adopted "in consultation with teachers employed by the Board". Districts may modify this policy to incorporate other local decisions. It is also recommended that districts consult with legal counsel before adopting or revising the policy.]

The Board of Education is responsible for a standards-based teacher evaluation policy which conforms to the framework for evaluation of teachers as approved by the State Board of Education and aligns with the "Standards for the Teaching Profession" as set forth in State law.

 $[\underline{X}]$ The Board adopts the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System ("OTES") model as approved by the State Board of Education.

The Board believes in the importance of ongoing assessment and meaningful feedback as a powerful vehicle to support improved teaching performance and student growth, as well as promotion and retention decisions for teachers.

This policy shall be implemented as set forth herein and shall be included in the collective bargaining agreement with the <u>Education</u> <u>Association of Pioneer (EAP)</u>, and in all extensions and renewals thereof.

This policy has been developed in consultation with teachers employed by the Board.

The Board authorizes the Superintendent to establish and maintain an ongoing <u>OTES Committee</u> [insert name of local evaluation committee], with continuing participation by District teachers

1		ronrocontod	h.	+ha	
τ	7	represented	DУ	the	

for the express purpose of recommending necessary changes to the Board for the appropriate revision of the policy.

Definitions

"OTES" - Stands for the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System as adopted by the Ohio State Board of Education in 2020, or as otherwise modified by the State Board of Education.

"Teacher" - For purposes of this policy, "teacher" means licensed instructors who spend at least fifty percent (50%) of his/her time providing content-related student instruction and who is working under one (1) of the following:

- A. A license issued under R.C. 3319.22, 3319.26, 3319.222 or 3319.226; or
- B. A permanent certificate issued under R.C. 3319.222 as it existed prior to September 2003; or
- C. A permanent certificate issued under R.C. 3319.222 as it existed prior to September 2006; or

D. A permit issued under R.C. 3319.301.

[DRAFTING NOTE: This statute authorizes the State Board of education to issue permits to individuals not traditionally licensed, but who otherwise are qualified to teach specific classes (due to their possession of a certain degree or significant experience related to the subject to be taught). The permit may be restricted to certain subject matter and total number of hours to be taught.]

Substitute teachers and teachers not meeting this definition are not subject to evaluation under this policy.

The Superintendent, Treasurer, (\underline{X}) Business Manager, and any "other administrator" as defined by R.C. 3319.02 are not subject to evaluation under this policy.

"Credentialed Evaluator" - means the appropriately qualified individual, assigned by the District, who is responsible for completing the evaluation process for a teacher. For purposes of this policy, each teacher subject to evaluation will be evaluated by a person who:

- A. meets the eligibility requirements under R.C. 3319.111(D); and
- B. holds a credential established by the Ohio Department of Education (ODE) for teacher evaluation; and
- C. has completed State-sponsored evaluation training and has passed an online credentialing assessment.

[CHOOSE ONE]

- [X] The Board will approve and maintain a list of credentialed evaluators as necessary to effectively implement this policy.
- [] The Board shall authorize the Superintendent/designee to approve and maintain a list of credentialed evaluators as necessary to effectively implement this policy.

[END OF OPTION]

"High-Quality Student Data" – means locally-determined data that provides evidence of student learning attributable to the teacher who is being evaluated. When applicable to the grade level or subject area taught, High-Quality Student Data (HQSD) shall include the value-added progress dimension and the teacher shall use at least one other measure of HQSD to demonstrate student learning. HQSD may also include data obtained from the list of Ohio Department of Education approved student assessments.

High-quality student data may not include student learning objectives (SLOs) or shared attribution measures.

"Value-Added" - refers to the EVAAS Value-Added methodology provided by SAS, Inc., which provides a measure of student progress at the District and school level based on each student's scores on State-issued standardized assessments.

[Drafting Note: Districts may wish to consider further definitions, including but not limited to those listed below:]

- "**Evaluation Cycle"** means the period of time for the completion of the evaluation procedure. The evaluation cycle is completed when performance assessments are conducted for the current school year and the teacher is assigned a final holistic rating.
- **"Evaluation Framework"** means the document created and approved by the Ohio Department of Education (ODE) in accordance with R.C. 3319.111(A) that establishes the standards-based framework for the evaluation of teachers developed under R.C. 3319.112.
- "Evaluation Instruments" refers to the forms developed by the ODE, including the "Teacher Performance Evaluation Rubric". [Drafting note: You may choose to identify where these forms reside, i.e. in an appendix to the policy, in an evaluation handbook, etc.]
- **"Evaluation Procedure"** refers to the procedural requirements set forth in this policy are intended to provide specificity to the statutory obligations established under R.C. 3319.111 and R.C. 3319.112 and to conform to the framework for the evaluation of teachers developed under R.C. 3319.112.
- **"Final Evaluation Rating"** means the final holistic evaluation rating that is assigned to a teacher pursuant to terms of this policy. The evaluation rating is assigned at the conclusion of the evaluation cycle.

Standards-Based Teacher Evaluation

Teacher evaluations will utilize multiple factors, with the intent of providing meaningful feedback to each teacher and assigning an effectiveness rating based upon teacher performance, student growth, and other locally determined criteria.

Each teacher evaluation will result in an evaluation rating of:

hed	,

B. Skilled;

C. Developing; or

D. Ineffective.

The specific standards and criteria for distinguishing between these ratings/levels of performance shall be the same as those developed by the State Board of Education, which are incorporated herein by reference.

The Superintendent shall annually cause to be filed a report to the Ohio Department of Education (ODE) the number of teachers for whom an evaluation was conducted as well as the number of teachers assigned each rating as set forth above, aggregated by teacher preparation programs from which and the years in which the teachers graduated. The Board will utilize the ODE's guidelines for reporting this information.

[X] The Board may elect not to evaluate a teacher who was on leave from the School District for fifty percent (50%) or more of the school year.

[X] The Board may elect not to evaluate a teacher who has submitted a notice of retirement that was accepted by the Board no later than December 1st of the year the teacher was scheduled to be evaluated.

[X] The Board may elect not to evaluate a teacher who is participating in the Ohio teacher Resident Educator program in the year during which the teacher takes at least half of the performance-based assessment as prescribed by the State Board of Education for the first time.

Professional Growth Plans and Professional Improvement Plans

Based upon the results of the annual teacher evaluation, each teacher must develop either a professional growth plan or be placed on a professional improvement plan as follows:

- A. A teacher whose final holistic rating is "accomplished" on his/her most recent evaluation will develop a professional growth plan and may choose his/her their credentialed evaluator from those available to the Board for that purpose, utilizing the components determined by the District.
- B. A teacher whose final holistic rating is "skilled" will develop a professional growth plan collaboratively with his/her credentialed evaluator and will have input on his/her evaluator for the next evaluation cycle, utilizing the components determined by the District.
- C. A teacher whose final holistic rating is "developing" will develop a professional growth plan guided by his/her assigned credentialed evaluator, utilizing the components determined by the District.
- D. A teacher whose final holistic rating is "ineffective" will be placed on a professional improvement plan by their assigned evaluator, utilizing the components determined by the District.
- E. A teacher who is new to the profession or new to the District will develop a professional growth plan collaboratively with his/her credentialed evaluator, utilizing the components determined by the District.
- F. The District administration has discretion to place a teacher on an Improvement Plan at any time based on any individual deficiency exhibited in the evaluation system by the teacher.

Board Professional Development Plan

In accordance with the Ohio State Board of Education's State-wide evaluation framework, the Board has adopted a specific plan for the allocation of financial resources to support the professional development of teachers covered by this policy. The plan will be reviewed annually.

Assessment of Teacher Performance

Teacher performance will be evaluated during formal observations and periodic informal observations also known as "classroom walkthroughs." Such performance will be used to determine the teacher's evaluation rating and will be assessed through a holistic process by trained and credentialed evaluators based upon the following Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession:

- A. understanding student learning and development, respecting student diversity, and holding high expectations for all students to achieve and make progress at high levels an;
- B. knowing and understanding the content area for which they have instructional responsibility;
- C. understanding and using varied assessments to inform instruction, evaluate and ensure student learning;
- D. planning and delivering effective instruction that advances individual student learning;
- E. creating learning environments that promote high levels of student learning and achievement for all students;
- F. collaborating and communicating with students, parents, other educators, District administrators, and the community to support student learning; and
- G. assuming responsibility for professional growth and performance as an individual and as a member of a learning community.

Formal Observation and Classroom Walkthrough Sequence

A. A teacher not under consideration for renewal or nonrenewal who is subject to a full evaluation cycle shall be evaluated based on at least two (2) formal observations of at least thirty (30) minutes each and periodic classroom walkthroughs each school year.

The first formal observation will be a holistic observation where the evaluator assesses all areas of the rubric demonstrated during the observation as well as information gained from any pre-observation conference or other sources selected by the evaluator. [DRAFTING NOTE: A pre-observation conference is not required.]

The second and any subsequent formal observation(s) will be focused observations in which the evaluator emphasizes identified focus area(s). Identified focus areas will be selected after completion of the holistic observation, and may include area(s) of relative strength and/or area(s) targeted for improvement. Teachers with a final evaluation rating of Accomplished (from the previous year) will select their own focus area(s). A teacher with a final evaluation rating of Skilled (from the previous year) will select focus area(s) in collaboration with his/her evaluator. A teacher with a final evaluation rating of Developing (from the previous year) will be guided by his/her evaluator in determining focus area(s). A teacher with a final evaluation rating of Ineffective (from the previous year) will have focus area(s) selected by the evaluator. A teacher new to the profession will select focus area(s) in collaboration with his/her evaluator." Evaluators will collect evidence during the focused observation to assess the identified focus area(s). Evaluators will also document evidence to support the final evaluation rating.

- B. A teacher new to the District or any teacher on a limited contract who is under consideration for renewal/nonrenewal shall receive at least three (3) formal observations in addition to periodic (at least two (2)) classroom walkthroughs (\underline{x}) unless the Superintendent waives the third observation.
- [x] A teacher
- ($\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}$) who has been granted a continuing contract by the Board and

who receives a rating of "Accomplished" on his/her most recent evaluation may be evaluated once every three (3) years. The teacher will be required to submit a self-directed professional growth plan to the evaluator, and the evaluator will determine if the teacher is making progress on the plan. The professional growth plan will focus on the most recent evaluation of the teacher. The teacher will be provided with at least one (1) formal or informal observation and post-conference in any year that such teacher is not formally evaluated.

- [x] The Board may evaluate each teacher
 - (x) who has been granted a continuing contract by the Board and

who received a rating of Skilled on the teacher's most recent evaluation once every two (2) years. The teacher and the evaluator will jointly develop a professional growth plan for the teacher and the evaluator will determine if the teacher is making progress on the

plan. The professional growth plan will focus on the most recent evaluation and observations of the teacher. Teachers will be provided with at least one (1) [] formal [] informal observation and post-conference in any year that such teacher is not formally evaluated.

Evaluations will be completed by May 1st and each teacher will be provided a written report of the results of his/her evaluation by May 10th. Written notice of nonrenewal will be provided by June 1st.

In evaluating teacher performance, the Board shall utilize the measures set forth by the Ohio Department of Education's OTES "Teacher Performance Evaluation Rubric" for instructional planning, instruction and assessment, and professionalism. [Drafting note: you may choose to identify where these measures reside, i.e, in an appendix to the policy, in an evaluation handbook, etc.]

[X] Each teacher evaluated under this policy shall annually complete a "Self-Assessment" utilizing the Self Assessment Summary Tool.

Formal Observation Procedure

[CHOOSE ONE]

A. (X) The first formal observation may be preceded by a conference between the evaluator and the employee prior to the observation in order for the employee to explain plans and objectives for the classroom situation to be observed. The second observation will be unannounced.

OR

A. () All formal observations shall be preceded by a conference between the evaluator and the employee prior to the observation in order for the employee to explain plans and objectives for the classroom situation to be observed.

[END OF OPTIONS]

B. (\underline{X}) A post-observation conference shall be held after each formal observation.

Informal Observation/Classroom Walkthrough Procedure

A walkthrough is an informal observation in which an evaluator may assess one or more areas in the Teacher Performance Evaluation Rubric.

Evaluators may but are not limited to collecting evidence in any identified focus area(s). Walkthroughs may be announced or unannounced. The walkthrough should be of sufficient duration to allow the evaluator to assess the focus of the walkthrough.

[X] A walkthrough shall consist of at least <u>ten</u> (10) consecutive minutes, but not more than thirty (30) consecutive minutes in duration. The walkthrough should be of sufficient duration to allow the evaluator to assess the focus of the walkthrough.

Data gathered from the walkthrough will inform the final evaluation.

Feedback from a walkthrough shall be provided after the walkthrough. The teacher and/or administrator may request a face to face meeting to discuss observations relative to the identified focus of the walkthrough.

Classroom walkthroughs shall not unreasonably disrupt and/or interrupt the learning environment.

Use of High-Quality Student Data

At least two measures of high-quality student data will be used as evidence of student learning. The teacher will select student data that will be used in consultation with the evaluator, and will provide evidence that demonstrates the teacher has used the data in accordance with this policy. The evaluator may use the data as evidence to determine a performance rating in any component of the evaluation where applicable.

When applicable to the grade level or subject area(s) taught by a teacher, high-quality student data will include the value-added progress dimension. High-quality student data will meet the following criteria:

A. aligns to learning standards

- B. measures what is intended to be measured
- C. is directly attributable to the teacher being evaluated for course(s) and grade level(s) taught
- D. demonstrates evidence of student learning (achievement and/or growth)
- E. follows protocols for administration and scoring
- F. provides trustworthy results; and
- G. is fair and unbiased

Teachers must provide evidence to their evaluator which demonstrates that they have used high-quality student data in the following ways:

- A. critically analyze and reflect upon results to support improvement and enhancement of student learning
- B. assess student learning needs and styles, including the strengths and weaknesses of an entire class as well as individual students in each class
- C. inform and adapt instruction to meet student needs; and
- D. measure student learning achievement and growth, as well as progress toward achieving state and local standards.

In addition to value-added data, the superintendent may select high-quality data from among state-approved vendor assessments or other locally determined measures or instruments that meet the definition and criteria outlined above.

Annually, the Superintendent shall develop a list of approved high-quality student data in consultation with experts in the field of education and with

- (x) the District's evaluation OTES committee.
- () members of the District's teaching staff.

For the purpose of selecting high-quality student data, the Board defines the term "expert" to include members of the District's administrative team, credentialed evaluators, as well as

- (X) Employees or consultants hired by the educational service center, or another private or public entity to provide expertise on student growth and learning;
- (X) Faculty from a post-secondary institution who have a degree in education or a related field;
- (X) Advisory Committee Members

1	١	
(-	j	

Final Evaluation Procedures

Evaluators will consider evidence gathered during the evaluation cycle to assign a final holistic evaluation rating, based upon the criteria developed by the Ohio Department of Education.

The evaluator shall submit the final written evaluation using the reporting system prescribed by the Ohio Department of Education (ODE). The teacher will confirm receipt of the same.

Retention and Promotion Decisions/Removal of Poorly Performing Teachers

It is the purpose of this Standards-Based Teacher Evaluation Policy to improve the quality of instruction, enhance student learning, and strengthen professional competence through meaningful feedback and targeted professional development. In addition, the evaluations produced will serve to inform the Board on employment decisions, i.e., retention, promotion of teachers, renewal of teaching contracts, and the removal/nonrenewal of poorly performing teachers.

Definitions:

"Retention" - for purposes of this policy refers to employment decisions on the question of whether or not to suspend a contract pursuant to a reduction in force, nonrenew a limited or extended limited contract, or terminate employment for good and just cause. In the case of a reduction in force, seniority will not be considered when making decisions on contract suspensions, except in the instance of comparable evaluations. The decision to nonrenew or terminate the contract of a poorly performing teacher may be informed by the evaluation(s) conducted under this policy. However, decisions to nonrenew or terminate a teaching contract are not limited by the existence of this policy.

"Promotion" - as used in this context is of limited utility given the fact that teachers covered by this policy are not currently employed in any discernible hierarchy. Nevertheless, when making decisions relative to such matters as determining department or grade level chairpersons, selections to curricular or strategic planning bodies, or teaching assignments, the Board will consider teacher performance as indicated by evaluations.

"Poorly Performing Teachers" - refers to teachers identified through the evaluation process set forth in this policy who demonstrate an inability and/or unwillingness to meet the reasonable expectations of this standards-based evaluation system.

"Comparable Evaluations" - since seniority may not be the basis for teacher retention or other employment decisions, except when deciding between teachers who have comparable evaluations, this refers to teachers within the categories of "Ineffective," "Developing," "Skilled," and "Accomplished."

Removal of Poorly Performing Teachers

Removal of poorly performing teachers will be in accordance with the nonrenewal and termination statutes of the Ohio Revised Code.

(\underline{x}) and/or the relevant provisions of the collective bargaining agreement in effect between the Board and the <u>Education</u> Association of Pioneer (EAP)

Nothing in this policy will be deemed to prevent the Board from exercising its rights to nonrenew, terminate, or suspend a teaching contract as provided by law and the terms of the collective bargaining agreement in effect between it and the Education Association of Pioneer (EAP). The evaluation system and procedures set forth in this policy shall not create an expectation of continued employment for teachers on a limited contract that are evaluated under this policy. The Board reserves the right to nonrenew a teacher evaluated under this policy in accordance with R.C. 3319.11 notwithstanding the teacher's holistic rating.

© Neola 2020

Legal

R.C. 3319.02, 3319.11, 3319.111, 3319.112, 3319.114, 3319.22, 3319.222

R.C. 3319.223, 3319.226, 3319.26, 3333.0411

A.C. 3301-35-03(A)

Sub. 216 (2018), H.B. 362

H.B. 64 (2015)